UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,328	06/25/2007	Tsuyoshi Wakasa	OLI-008	8328
32628 7590 08/04/2009 KANESAKA BERNER AND PARTNERS LLP 1700 DIAGONAL RD SHUTE 210			EXAMINER	
			CUEVAS, PEDRO J	
SUITE 310 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2848			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,328	WAKASA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PEDRO J. CUEVAS	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-12 and 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,6,13 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the case Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to the drawing(	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
		, toller of termin 10 102			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :8/23/06, 6/25/07, 7/19/07, 11/23/07 and 7/13/09.

Art Unit: 2839

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 8-10, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,420,692 to Kos et al.

Kos et al. clearly teaches the construction of a motion responsive wind turbine tower damping system including a pitch-angle control mechanism (36) for controlling a pitch angle of windmill blades (10) on the basis of a blade-pitch-angle command (8), comprising:

an accelerometer (1), attached to a nacelle, for detecting the acceleration due to vibrations of the nacelle; and

an active damping unit (4 + 7) for calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for generating a thrust on the windmill blades so as to cancel out the vibrations of the nacelle on the basis of the acceleration detected with the accelerameter and for outputting a blade-pitch-angle command (8) to the pitch-angle control mechanism (36).

3. With regards to claim 2, Kos et al. disclose:

a pitch-angle control unit (100) for calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for controlling the output of the wind turbine generator to be a predetermined value on the basis of wind speed (76), the rotational speed of a windmill rotor (48), or the

Application/Control Number: 10/590,328

Art Unit: 2839

output of the wind turbine generator (56) and for outputting a blade-pitch-angle command for output control (98); and

Page 3

an adder (9) for supplying the pitch-angle control mechanism with a blade-pitch-angle command (89a) obtained by combining the blade-pitch-angle command for damping output from the active damping unit with the blade-pitch-angle command for output control output from the pitch-angle control unit.

- 4. With regards to claim 8, Kos et al. disclose a limiter (104) for limiting the pitch angle of the windmill blades or the angular speed of the pitch angle of the windmill blades to a predetermined range.
- 5. With regards to claim 9, Kos et al. disclose an active damping method of a wind turbine generator as disclosed above, the active damping method comprising:

an active damping step of calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for generating a thrust on the windmill blades so as to cancel out the vibrations of the nacelle on the basis of the acceleration detected with the accelerameter and outputting a bladepitch-angle command to the pitch-angle control mechanism.

6. With regards to claim 10, Kos et al. disclose an active damping method of a wind turbine generator as disclosed above, the active damping method comprising:

an active damping step of calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for generating a thrust on the windmill blades so as to cancel out the vibrations of the nacelle on the basis of the acceleration detected with the accelerameter and outputting a bladepitch-angle command for damping;

Art Unit: 2839

a pitch-angle control step of calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for controlling the output of the wind turbine generator to be a predetermined value on the basis of wind speed, the rotational speed of a windmill rotor, or the output of the wind turbine generator and outputting a blade- pitch-angle command for output control; and an addition step of supplying the pitch-angle control mechanism with a blade-pitch-angle command obtained by combining the blade-pitch-angle command for damping in the active damping step with the blade-pitch-angle command for output control in the pitch-angle control step.

- 7. With regards to claim 16, Kos et al. disclose the active damping step includes a limiting step of limiting the pitch angle of the windmill blades or the angular speed of the pitch angle of the windmill blades to a predetermined range.
- 8. With regards to claim 17, Kos et al. disclose a windmill tower (12) comprising:

  a wind turbine generator (20-32) including a pitch-angle control mechanism (100)

  for controlling a pitch angle of windmill blades on the basis of a blade-pitch-angle
  command;

an accelerometer (1), attached to a nacelle, for detecting the acceleration due to vibrations of the nacelle; and

an active damping unit (4 + 7) for calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for generating a thrust on the windmill blades so as to cancel out the vibrations of the nacelle on the basis of the acceleration detected with the accelerameter and for outputting a blade-pitch-angle command to the pitch-angle control mechanism.

Art Unit: 2839

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 3, 4, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,420,692 to Kos et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,382,018 B2 to Knestel.

Kos et al. disclose the construction of a motion responsive wind turbine tower damping system as disclosed above.

Art Unit: 2839

However, it fails to disclose:

a speed estimation unit for estimating a speed from the acceleration detected with the accelerometer; and

inputting the speed output from said speed estimation unit to the control unit for calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for generating a thrust on the windmill blades so as to cancel out the vibrations of the nacelle on the basis of the speed output from said speed estimation unit.

Knestel disclose the construction of a measuring device, comprising acceleration sensors (22 and 23) and a central processing unit (CPU) or control unit (15) for the purpose of measuring longitudinal and transverse acceleration or deceleration and to calculate speed by integrating said acceleration or deceleration signal (Claim 11).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the measuring device disclosed by Knestel on the motion responsive wind turbine tower damping system disclosed by Kos et al. for the purpose of calculating speed by integrating an acceleration or deceleration signal and providing said calculated speed as an input to the control unit disclosed by Kos et al.

13. With regards to claim 11, Kos et al. in view of Knestel disclose the active damping step including a speed estimation step of estimating a speed from the acceleration detected with the accelerometer, and a control step of calculating a pitch angle of the windmill blades for generating a thrust on the windmill blades so as to cancel out the vibrations of the nacelle on the basis of the speed estimated in the speed estimation step.

Art Unit: 2839

- 14. With regards to claim 12, Kos et al. in view of Knestel disclose the speed estimation step integrating the acceleration detected with the accelerometer to calculate the speed.
- 15. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,420,692 to Kos et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,382,018 B2 to Knestel as applied to claims 3, 4, 11 and 12 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,160,170 to Harner et al.

Kos et al. in view of Knestel disclose the construction of a motion responsive wind turbine tower damping system as disclosed above.

However, it fails to disclose the control unit including any one of a proportional controller, a proportional-integral controller, a proportional-integral-derivative controller, a linear-quadratic regulator, and a linear-quadratic Gaussian regulator to which the speed estimated by the speed estimation unit is input to calculate the pitch angle.

Harner et al. disclose the construction of a wind turbine generator pitch control system, comprising control means including proportional, integral and derivative multipliers (Claim 36) for the purpose of producing a control signal indicative of a plurality of parameters of the system.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the control means disclosed by Harner et al. on the motion responsive wind turbine tower damping system disclosed by Kos et al. in view of Knestel for the purpose of producing a control signal indicative of a plurality of parameters of the system.

16. With regards to claim 15, Harner et al. disclose the control step including a compensation step of performing a proportional, a proportional-integral, or a proportional-integral-derivative

Art Unit: 2839

control for the speed estimated by the speed estimation step and calculates the pitch angle on the basis of the speed obtained after the compensation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 5, 6, 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

18. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, does not teaches:

the construction of a wind turbine generator as described on and including all the disclosed limitations of dependent claim 5, comprising a control unit that:

includes a phase-lead compensator for advancing the phase of the speed output from the speed estimation unit by a predetermined amount; and calculates the pitch angle on the basis of the speed obtained after the phase-lead compensation; and

the design of an active damping method as described on and including all the disclosed limitations of dependent claim 13, comprising a control step that includes:

a phase-lead compensation step of advancing the phase of the speed estimated by the speed estimation step by a predetermined amount and calculates the pitch angle on the basis of the speed obtained after the phase-lead compensation.

Dependent claims 6 and 14 are considered allowable by their respective dependence on objected dependent claims 5 and 13.

Art Unit: 2839

#### Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PEDRO J. CUEVAS whose telephone number is (571)272-2021. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 - 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T. C. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pedro J. Cuevas/ Examiner, Art Unit 2839 August 4, 2009

/Nicholas Ponomarenko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2839 July 31, 2009